## Before Starting the Special CoC Application

You must submit both of the following parts in order for us to consider your Special NOFO Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application, and
2. the CoC Priority Listing.

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The Special Notice of Funding Opportunity (Special NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The Special NOFO Continuum of Care (CoC) Application Detailed Instructions for Collaborative Applicants which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

CoC Approval is Required before You Submit Your CoC's Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application on behalf of your CoC .
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions
Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments
Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You must upload the [Specific Attachment Name] attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed-including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with-if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).


## 1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions


## 1A-1. CoC Name and Number: FL-506 - Tallahassee/Leon County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Apalachee Regional Planning Council

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Apalachee Regional Planning Council

| 1A-5. | New Projects |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Complete the chart below by indicating <br> which funding opportunity(ies) your Coc <br> applying for projects under. ACoC may <br> apply for funding under both set asides; <br> however, projects funded through the rural <br> set aside may only be used in rural areas, <br> as defined in the Special NOFO. |  |
| 1. | Unsheltered Homelessness Set Aside | Yes |
| 2. | Rural Homelessness Set Aside | Yes |

## 1B. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking-Local Competition

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1. Web Posting of Your CoC Local Competition Deadline-Advance Public Notice. (All Applicants)
Special NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.
You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.
Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project application submission for your CoC's local competition.

1B-2. Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. (All Applicants)
Special NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.
You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected new project applications during your CoC's local competition:

1. Established total points available for each project application type.
2. At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).
3. At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).

| 1B-3. | Projects Rejected/Reduced-Notification Outside of e-snaps. (All Applicants) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special NOFO Section VII.B.1.b. |  |
|  | You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen. |  |
| 1. | Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)? | Yes |
| 2. | Did your CoC inform the applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced? | Yes |
| 3. | If you selected yes, for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, list the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on $6 / 26 / 22,6 / 27 / 22$, and $6 / 28 / 22$, then you must enter 6/28/22. | 10/04/2022 |


| 1B-3a. | Projects Accepted-Notification Outside of e-snaps. (All Applicants) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Special NOFO Section VII.B.1.b. |
|  | You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4A. Attachments <br> Screen. |
|  | Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were <br> accepted and ranked on the New Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you <br> notified applicants on various dates, list the latest date of any notification. For example, if you <br> notified applicants on 6/26/22, 6/27/22, and 6/28/22, then you must enter 6/28/22. |
|  |  |

1B-4. Web Posting of the CoC-Approved Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application. (All Applicants)
Special NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.
You must upload the Web Posting-Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.
Enter the date your CoC posted its Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application on the
10/18/2022 CoC's website or affiliate's website-which included:

1. the CoC Application, and
2. Priority Listings.

## 2A. System Performance

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1. Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless-Risk Factors.
Special NOFO Section VII.B.2.b.

|  | Describe in the field below: |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1. | how your CoC determined which risk factors your CoC uses to identify persons becoming homeless for the <br> first time; |
| 2. | how your CoC addresses individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and |
| 3. | provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to <br> reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time or to end <br> homelessness for individuals and families. |

## (limit 2,500 characters)

1.Our CoC Needs Assessment \& Planning (NAP) Committee address risk factors for First time homelessness \& outline our CoC strategies to address households (HH) at risk of homelessness. NAP looks at multiple sources of data including CE, VI-SPDAT, PIT, HIC, SPMs per project, \& community-wide data on poverty to assess risk factors. United Way estimates over 40\% of area HHs are at/below Asset Limited, Income Constrained, Employed (ALICE) Thresholds (AT). ALICE households earn more than Federal Poverty Level, but less than basic cost of living \& lack savings adequate to carry them beyond a month of financial hardship. The CoC assesses risk using Trauma-Informed approaches such as Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) scores as an additional indicator of risk of homelessness.
2.We partner with behavioral health providers that regularly assess individual ACE scores \& potential housing crises risks based on those scores. We use the following strategies to mitigate first time homelessness: referring HHs to legal aid \& 2-1-1, dedicating funds to homeless prevention \& diversion, mediating landlord/tenant disputes, supporting family reunification, coordinating reentry \& discharge with hospitals, psychiatric facilities, jails, prisons, \& coordinating with disaster shelters. Outreach teams are trained to work with individuals most at risk of homelessness, including families, youth, single males and females, \& those identifying as LGBTQ+. This training includes inclusivity \& cultural competency. All RFPs released in the past 2 years by the CoC, regardless of the source, score proposals on how their project will decrease 1st time homelessness, ensuring providers are focused on this outcome before they begin the funded activities.
3.BBCoC Board, ED \& CoC staff are responsible for overseeing strategies to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time.


| 2A-3. | Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention. (All Applicants) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Special NOFO Section VII.B.2.d. |  |

Special NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.

|  | Describe in the field below how your CoC will increase the rate that individuals and persons in families <br> residing in: |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1. | emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing <br> destinations; and |
| 2. | permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations. |

(limit 2,500 characters)


#### Abstract

1.We analyze project-specific data showing which projects are successfully making permanent placements directly from ES/TH/RRH. Projects with the best permanent housing placement rates share their strategies for success with the CoC network of providers quarterly \& are publicly recognized for their success. The CoC holds MoUs with 8 properties that received tax credits for development, giving the CoC access to 42 rental units where cost is based on $30 \%$ of the tenants' income. These units are set aside for elderly, ELI, \& disabled clients. The CoC is actively pursuing more partnerships like this where developers have been afforded tax credits and must dedicate a portion of units as affordable housing. We represent the homeless \& housing providers at our city/county Housing Leadership Council, keeping them informed of needs assessment results \& necessary changes in ordinance, zoning, and development regulations. An example of collaboration with this council made it possible for developers to revamp hotel units into single-room apartments/efficiencies. We work with PHAs to increase the number of mainstream vouchers \& move along vouchers dedicated to those experiencing homelessness or moving on from HUD-funded PSH programs. We also partnered with the Tallahassee Housing Authority to provide more than 60 EHV vouchers to households seeking permanent housing. 2.The CoC ensures agencies in our network providing financial assistance for rent, enter a signed agreement between the landlord, tenant, \& assistance agency detailing services available to the tenant \& landlord, including supportive case management so landlords know they are supported if a challenge arises with a tenant. The CoC hired a Landlord Liaison that actively works with private \& corporate properties negotiating reduced rents \& terms for housing tenants with challenging histories. The Landlord Liaison hosts education events for landlords, tenants \& case managers on utilizing local landlord risk mitigation funding, prevention \& diversion funds to increase retention of units and avoid eviction. The City of Tallahassee and Leon County have partnered to provide landlords access to a Landlord Mitigation Fund to ensure support and financial assistance to landlords as an incentive to house clients who are homeless and working with our partner agencies. 3.The BBCoC Board, ED and CoC staff oversee these strategies.



(limit 2,500 characters)
1.Our ES and street outreach projects are trained to use HMIS to identify if a client is returning to homelessness within a two-year period. These cases, when identified, are prioritized for homeless prevention and diversion assistance and are staffed by the CoC and service partners to quickly come up with alternatives to returns to homelessness. The CoC also runs monthly reports showing the individual clients that have returned to homelessness over the past 30 days, this report shows us if there is an uptick in returns that needs to be addressed and helps us gauge additional prevention and diversion resources that may be needed to keep these returns from happening altogether.
2.As part of our Homelessness Assistance and Strategic Plans we plan to increase the following types of assistance to decrease returns; Diversion, Prevention, RRH and PSH. We also plan to partner with more mainstream benefits partners to ensure exits to permanent housing are set up to succeed and retain housing. We have revised our written standards governing the amount of financial assistance that can be provided through RRH and HP programs, lifting the cap on funding per household allowing for more support as needed. The CoC is working with the RISE reentry center to ensure those exiting jails and prisons do not fall into homelessness upon release. 3.The BBCoC Board, ED and CoC staff are responsible for overseeing these strategies

| 2A-5. | Increasing Employment Cash Income-Strategy. (All Applicants) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Special NOFO Section VIII.B.2.f. |
|  | Describe in the field below: |
| 1. | the strategy your CoC has implemented to increase employment cash sources; |
| 2. | how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families increase their cash income; and |
| 3. | provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment. |

## (limit 2,500 characters)

1.Participants in our HUD CoC funded PSH programs are educated at a minimum annually on how they can attain higher paying jobs and training to support applying to those jobs. We closely monitor changes in income among CoC program participants through HMIS data and if an income change has not been updated within 11 months, we share a report with programs and ask what has been done in working with the client to increase earned income, especially if they are still enrolled in the program. The CoC has also implemented plans for continuous engagement of large local employers to consider an employment preference for those disclosing they have had housing instability or homelessness in their past that can be verified by the CoC as well local governments and hospitals.
2.We partner with Career Source Capital Region and H3LPs FL making referrals to both partners for job training and placement programs to increase a participant's income. We also partner with Tallahassee Community College and Lively Technical Center to provide education opportunities on skills training that could ultimately improve a clients skill set, earning them more income through their existing employer or a new employment opportunity.
3.The BBCoC Board, ED and CoC staff are responsible for overseeing these strategies.


## (limit 2,500 characters)

1.As part of our monitoring and annual project review process we look to see if our service providers have SOAR trained case managers to help clients with SSDI applications that are experiencing homelessness and a disability. We work with our local SOAR lead organization, Northwest Health Network to see how many applications have been processed and approved through our partners and that our provider agencies are having staff complete the free 18hour training available to them. We encourage our providers to include discussion of increasing income at their monthly case staffing with clients to ensure it is addressed ongoingly.
2.Representatives from each provider of mainstream benefits, such as TANF and SNAP, are available regularly onsite at our local emergency shelter \& services center, ensuring easy access \& minimizing transportation barriers. 3. The BBCoC Board, ED and CoC staff are responsible for overseeing these strategies.

## 2B. Coordination and Engagement-Inclusive Structure and Participation

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1. Inclusive Structure and Participation-Participation in Coordinated Entry. (All Applicants)
Special NOFO Sections VII.B.3.a.(1)

|  | In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April $30,2022:$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1. | select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted-including <br> selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or |
| 2. | select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area: |


|  | Organization/Person | Participated in CoC Meetings | Voted, Including Electing of CoC Board Members | Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Affordable Housing Developer(s) | Yes | Yes | No |
| 2. | Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking | Yes | Yes | No |
| 3. | CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 4. | CoC-Funded Victim Service Providers | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 5. | CoC-Funded Youth Homeless Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 6. | Disability Advocates | Yes | Yes | No |
| 7. | Disability Service Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 8. | Domestic Violence Advocates | Yes | Yes | No |
| 9. | EMS/Crisis Response Team(s) | Yes | No | No |
| 10. | Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 11. | Hospital(s) | Yes | No | No |
| 12. | Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations) | Nonexistent | No | No |
| 13. | Law Enforcement | Yes | No | No |
| 14. | Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer (LGBTQ+) Advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 15. | LGBTQ+ Service Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 16. | Local Government Staff/Officials | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 17. | Local Jail(s) | Yes | No | No |
| 18. | Mental Health Service Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 19. | Mental Illness Advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |


| FY2022 Special NOFO CoC Application | Page 10 | $10 / 19 / 2022$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 20. | Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations | Yes | No | No |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21. | Non-CoC-Funded Victim Service Providers | Yes | Yes | No |
| 22. | Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other <br> People of Color | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 23. | Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 24. | Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 25. | Other homeless subpopulation advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 26. | Public Housing Authorities | Yes | Yes | No |
| 27. | School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons | Yes | Yes | No |
| 28. | Street Outreach Team(s) | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 29. | Substance Abuse Advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 30. | Substance Abuse Service Organizations | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 31. | Youth Advocates | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 32. | Youth Service Providers | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Other:(limit 50 characters) |  |  |  |  |
| 33. |  |  |  |  |
| 34. |  |  |  |  |

## By selecting "other" you must identify what "other" is.

| 2B-2. | Open Invitation for New Members. (All Applicants) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.(2), V.B.3.g. |
|  | Describe in the field below how your CoC: |
| 1. | communicated the invitation process annually to solicit new members to join the CoC; |
| 2. | ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of <br> accessible electronic formats; |
| 3. | conducted outreach to ensure persons experiencing homelessness or formerly homeless <br> persons are encouraged to join your CoC; and |
| 4. | invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the <br> geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, other People of Color, <br> persons with disabilities). |

(limit 2,500 characters)
1.The CoC has two calls for new members each year in April and October, however membership opportunities are open year-round. We send notifications to our mailing list of over 500 individuals \& organizations encouraging them to join and share this opportunity with others in their networks. We post calls for new members on our website landing page and social media, as well as make announcements at local meetings in our community.
2.As part of our web notices, voice mail recordings and announcements at public meetings we offer any accommodations someone may need to fully review the information sent out electronically. We also utilize tools within Microsoft and Adobe making suggestions on more accessible formats. We partner with two different organizations specializing in empowering persons with different abilities and have them review our notices for optimal accessibility.
3.Last year, we launched our Racial Equity workgroup which has expanded our reach to more culturally specific organizations who serve communities experiencing homelessness. This workgroup is open to anyone in the community, but we intentionally solicited people in our community who are affiliated with the NAACP, Migrant Worker Associations, Human Trafficking Organizations, leaders in predominantly black and brown neighborhoods stricken with poverty, school liaisons for predominantly black schools and minority lead organizations dedicated to serving minority populations.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1.The CoC solicits and considers opinions using CoC facilitated Network Meetings, CoC Committee meetings, including the Needs Assessment and Planning (NAP) meetings, Race Equity Workgroup and Youth Action Board focus groups, CoC Board and Membership Meetings through public comment, Monthly Partner Planning Meetings and by interacting with a cross-section of people through other committee work representing different disciplines. The Housing Leadership Council of which we are a member continues to provide recommendations on new affordable housing development in collaboration with city and county governments. Partnerships with city and county housing focused departments, allows the CoC opportunities to give and solicit input on funding opportunities.
2.Consistently, the CoC sends out E-newsletters to a distribution list of more than 500 organizations and individuals announcing opportunities for engagement and input. The distribution list includes individuals, service partners, city and county government, business members, Board and CoC Members. We also conducted electronic surveys with our network of 500+ individuals and organizations.
3. In response to feedback and solicited responses on priorities the CoC should focus on, the NAP \& Executive Committees receive and make recommendations to establish and update strategies to improve housing outcomes and stability for our neighbors needing homeless and housing assistance. This includes revisions to procedures, policies, and the CoC's Homeless Assistance Plan or establishing priorities for future work or specific funding streams available through local opportunities and ESG funding.

(limit 2,500 characters)
1.Per our HUD NOFO Renew and New Project Review P\&P, the application process is open to agencies meeting threshold criteria, inclusive of new agencies. The opportunity is posted to CoC website within 48 hours of NOFO release from HUD. The RFP was shared with local non-profit networks to distribute to their lists. The funding opportunity \& timeline is shared with newspapers, TV/Radio stations, \& social media. Notifications \& postings are shared through the deadline of project submittal via the BBCoC lists served, email, \& social media platforms encouraging agencies to apply. Our CoC began posting information on funding opportunities on $8 / 4 / 2022$. All notifications and postings specifically encouraged the public to forward the notification/publication to anyone who may be interested in funding.
2.To help support new organizations not previously funded, our CoC included weekly TA. We held a community information session on 8/15/22 to allow any agency interested in applying for funding an opportunity to learn about the funding priorities and activities and ask questions. Each week following, we held office hours covering components of the application process on topics including the following: Submitting letter of intent and Eligible Organizations, E-SNAPS troubleshooting and technical assistance, Timeline/Q\&A, Project Scoring Tools, Presentations \& App Packet Submission Formats. All sessions were recorded \& posted to the web for anyone to access.
3.In the weekly office hours CoC staff were available to applicants walking them through the submission process. We provided examples of previous years submissions, detailed RFP guidance as well as one on one technical assistance for any applicant making the request. We provided an application checklist to help assist applicants in final submissions.
4.We used a trained committee of community members, free of conflicts as our Project Application \& Performance Review Committee to review and score project proposals. The committee makes recommendations on high-scoring projects to be included in the ranking/tiering that is then completed by the CoC Executive Committee.
5.We posted text transcripts and full recordings, to our NOFO section of the web. We utilized Adobe \& Microsoft tools to make our electronic text format accessible \& relied on two partner agencies who are versed in accessibility to review our materials

## 2C. Coordination / Engagement-with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1. Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations. (All Applicants)
Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.


|  | Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects | Coordinates with <br> Planning or Operations <br> of Projects |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Funding Collaboratives | Yes |
| 2. | Head Start Program | Yes |
| 3. | Housing and services programs funded through Local Government | Yes |
| 4. | Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC) | Yes |
| 5. | Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations | Yes |
| 6. | Housing and services programs funded through State Government | Yes |
| 7. | Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) | Yes |
| 8. | Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) | Yes |
| 9. | Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) | Yes |
| 10. | Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations) | Nonexistent |
| 11. | Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color | Yes |
| 12. | Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons | Yes |
| 13. | Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities | Yes |
| 14. | Private Foundations | Yes |
| 15. | Public Housing Authorities | Yes |
| 16. | Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY) | Yes |
| 17. | Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) | Yes |
|  | Other:(limit 50 characters) |  |
| 18. |  |  |


| 2C-2. . CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients. (All Applicants) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.b. |
| Describe in the field below how your CoC: |  |
| 1. consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG funds; |  |
| participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients; |  |
| 3. provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and |  |
| 4. provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions to address homelessness within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update. |  |
| (limit 2,500 characters) |  |
| 1.The City of Tallahassee (CoT) and State of Florida Office on Homelessness (State) are the two recipients of ESG funds serving our areas. We utilize our Needs Assessment \& Planning (NAP) Committee \& monthly Partner Planning Calls to prioritize \& plan for the use of all ESG funds including CV. Several staff from the CoT Housing and Human Services Department are active members of the NAP committee \& regularly provides updates \& assists with planning efforts for our CoC. The CoT Human Service Director is part of our Project Performance \& Review Committee. The State shares their priorities for ESG and ESG-CV frequently \& the CoC adjusts its written standards to accommodate these changes. |  |
| 2. City of Tallahassee performs their own monitoring of ESG subrecipients \& informs the COC by sharing a monitoring report so the CoC has the opportunity to provide additional TA for any CAP. The CoC conducts program monitoring \& evaluation of our State ESG sub-recipients to address fiscal \& programmatic compliance. Sub-recipients are provided monitoring findings \& feedback on monitoring results \& an opportunity to correct deficiencies where appropriate through a CAP. On-going training is provided to address program requirements as well as any program updates. |  |
| 3.The ESG results | CoC shares preliminary PIT/HIC data as well as all final reports with both ecipients by emailing the reports \& meeting with the entities to review the , the reports are also posted to the CoC website for the public. |
| 4.CoT Conso input. needs State Meas throug | invites the CoC and area providers to its Annual Action Plan \& lidated Plan meetings. We help publicize the meetings, attend, \& provide Regular \& updated information on PIT, HIC, data analysis \& results of the assessment \& any resulting changes to the HAP are shared with both the \& COT. We also provide detailed education on our System Performance ures \& how the ESG funds can be used to increase system performance h our NAP Committee meetings, which is regularly attended by CoT. |

2C-3. Discharge Planning Coordination. (All Applicants)
Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.

|  | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Foster Care | Yes |
| 2. | Health Care | Yes |
| 3. | Mental Health Care | Yes |
| 4. | Correctional Facilities | Yes |


| $2 \mathrm{C}-4$. | CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth-SEAs, LEAs, School Districts. (All <br> Applicants) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.d. |


|  | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Youth Education Provider | Yes |
| 2. | State Education Agency (SEA) | Yes |
| 3. | Local Education Agency (LEA) | Yes |
| 4. | School Districts | Yes |


| 2C-4a. | CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth-SEAs, LEAs, School Districts-Formal <br> Partnerships. (All Applicants) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.d. |  |  |


|  | Describe in the field below: |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1. | how your CoC collaborates with the entities checked in Question 2C-4; and |
| 2. | the formal partnerships your CoC has with the entities checked in Question 2C-4. |
| (limit 2,500 characters) |  |

1. As part of the CoC's governance board structure, we have representatives from our county school districts (K-12), local university and community college who regularly participate in voting on policies, procedures, funding allocations. This year, we partnered with 2 elementary schools to host 2 service fairs designed to provide households in those communities with information about assistance and programs for those who may be at risk or experiencing homelessness. During those events, our partner agencies we able to conduct coordinated entry screenings and initial intakes for those households who were eligible for available services. We have also partnered with a local university to provide assistance to students who may be homeless by both assessing them via coordinated entry and documenting homeless status. Our CoC holds an MoA with Career Source Capital Region who provided employment training and education for youth ages 18-24. This partner also offers GED certificate programs. We utilize the FL DoE data on homeless youth and doubled-up families to help determine what should be allocated for homelessness prevention and rental assistance programs in each of the 8 counties we serve. Our CoC coverage area includes 8 county school districts that have designated Families In Transition (FIT) Liaisons who work with school-aged youth and families in the school system that are nearing or experiencing homelessness.
2. We have entered into an MoU with Gadsden County Schools System and their FIT program. Gadsden Co provides k-12 education in one of our counties with the highest counts of minorities and lowest graduation rates.

| 2C-4b. | CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth-Informing Individuals and Families <br> Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services. (All Applicants) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.d. |
|  | Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform <br> individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services |

## (limit 2,500 characters)

As part of our CoC Emergency Shelters client orientation, clients are provided information on accessing childcare and education for their children. Details about the McKinney Vento Act and benefits are reviewed with households with children upon program entry. This orientation is to be provided within the first 7 days of intake into the program and the client signs a document recognizing that this area was covered in their orientation and who their assigned case manager is they can follow up with if they have any questions. Recently the CoC has partnered with Florida State University to connect students who present as homeless with services. Our Written Standards P \& P requires providers to coordinate with mainstream educational resources. Programs that serve households with children must provide a staff person to be designated as the educational liaison that will ensure that children are enrolled in school, connected to appropriate services in the community, including early childhood program such as Head Start, Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and the McKinney Vento education services.

| Mainstream Resources-CoC Training of Project Staff. (All Applicants) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.e. |  |

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains project staff annually on the following mainstream resources available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

|  |  | Mainstream Resource |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Food Stamps | CoC Provides Annual <br> Training? |
| 2. | SSI-Supplemental Security Income | Yes |
| 3. | TANF-Temporary Assistance for Needy Families | Yes |
| 4. | Substance Abuse Programs | Yes |
| 5. | Employment Assistance Programs | Yes |
| 6. | Other | Yes |

2C-5a. Mainstream Resources-CoC Collaboration with Project Staff Regarding Healthcare Organizations. (All Applicants)

Special NOFO Section VII.B.3.e.

|  | Describe in the field below how your CoC: |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1. | systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program <br> participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's <br> geographic area; |
| 2. | works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations to assist program <br> participants with enrolling in health insurance; |
| 3. | provides assistance to project staff with the effective use of Medicaid and other benefits; and |
| 4. | works with projects to promote SOAR certification of program staff. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC keeps program staff/participants up to date using social media, mailing list, networking meetings, Board \& Membership meetings, \& its close partnership with 211 Big Bend \& area providers. CoC staff frequently updates program staff with new information and updates on mainstream programming. CoC staff also utilizes month partner calls to brief agency leadership about upcoming changes from mainstream programs. We update a paper \& electronic Resource Guide at least 2x a year in both English \& Spanish used by staff to assist clients with the most relevant \& targeted information \& referral. Through the pandemic notifications of newly available benefits were sent to our network and program participants weekly. The CoC monitors development of new mainstream resources by monitoring the news \& participating in community \& regional meetings for updates in local health care, behavioral health care, education, transportation \& other services. We send updated information out using our mailing list reaching over 500 individuals \& organizations \& we host networking \& partner planning meetings every month encouraging programs to share new resources. We have had managed care plans \& healthcare organizations make presentations at these meetings during open enrollment or when they have new services that can benefit our clientele.
2. Health insurance enrollment \& Medicaid utilization are top priorities for all area providers. Medicaid HMOs regularly visit our ES providers to support enrollment efforts. Representatives from each provider of these mainstream benefits are available daily onsite at our local emergency shelter \& services center, ensuring easy access \& minimizing transportation barriers. We can connect clients with our State-Funded Behavioral Health lead agency, Northwest FL Health Network (NWFHN), who provides access to SOAR Benefits Specialists as well as support \& training for any program staff becoming SOAR certified. NWFHN also dedicates state funds for housing related needs for persons experiencing homelessness \& considered to be high utilizers of emergency services including psychiatric stabilization, detox \& jail services.
3. 
4. CoC staff disseminates information about on-going trainings for SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certifications during annual trainings specifically for case managers. We are always looking for funding opportunities that will allow agencies to fund additional staff with SOAR certifications.

## 3A. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs-New Projects. (Rural Set Aside Only).
Special NOFO Section VII.A.

| If the answer to the question below is yes, you must upload the CoC Letter Supporting Capital <br> Costs attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project(s) under the Rural Set Aside for housing <br> rehabilitation or new construction costs? | No |

## 3B. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

The CoC Special NOFO page provides HUD-approved resources to assist you in completing the Special NOFO CoC Application, including:

- Special Notice of Funding Opportunity to Address Unsheltered and Rural Homelessness
- 24 CFR part 578
- Special NOFO CoC Application Navigational Guide
- Section 3 Resources
- Frequently Asked Questions

| 3B-1. | Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes. (Rural Set Aside Only) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special NOFO Section VII.C. |  |
|  | Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes? | No |


| 3B-2. | Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes. (Rural Set <br> Aside Only) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Special NOFO Section VII.C. |
|  | You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4A. Attachments <br> Screen. |
|  | If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below: |
| 1. | how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more <br> cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section <br> 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than <br> serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in <br> 24 CFR 578.3; and |
| 2. | how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

## 4A. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

|  |  | Please read the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. |  | You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete. |  |  |
|  | 2. | You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes' |  |  |
| 3. |  | We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported-please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images and reduces file size. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print Option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube. |  |  |
|  | 4. | Attachments must match the questions they are associated with. |  |  |
|  | 5. | Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed-including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. |  |  |
|  | 6. | If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either. <br> - We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time). <br> - We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment. |  |  |
|  | 7. | Open attachments once uploaded to ensure they are the correct attachment for the required Document Type. |  |  |
| Document Type | Required? |  | Document Description | Date Attached |
| 1B-1. Local Competition Announcement | Yes |  |  |  |
| 1B-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool | Yes |  | Local Competition... | 10/19/2022 |
| 1B-3. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced | Yes |  | Projects Rejected... | 10/19/2022 |
| 1B-3a. Notification of Projects Accepted | Yes |  | Notification of P... | 10/19/2022 |
| 1B-4. Special NOFO CoC Consolidated Application | Yes |  |  |  |
| 3A-1. CoC Letter Supporting Capital Costs | No |  |  |  |
| 3B-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes | No |  |  |  |
| P-1. Leveraging Housing Commitment | No |  |  |  |
| P-1a. PHA Commitment | No |  | PHA Commitment | 10/19/2022 |
| P-3. Healthcare Leveraging Commitment | No |  |  |  |
| P-9c. Lived Experience Support Letter | No |  | Lived Experience ... | 10/19/2022 |
| Plan. CoC Plan | Yes |  |  |  |
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## 2022 HUD Supplemental NOFO NEW Project Scoring Tool For Ranking and Tiering FORM 500-A

AGENCY NAME:
REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBER INITIALS: $\qquad$

DATE SCORED: $\qquad$
PROJECT NAME: $\qquad$

APPLICATION TYPE: $\square$ Unsheltered Set Aside $\square$ Rural Set Aside

| PROJECT TYPE: | PH-PSH $\quad \square$ PH-RRH $\quad \square$ Joint TH\&PH-RRH | SSO-CE $\square$ Emer | Shelter | SSO (Non CE) $\square$ HMIS $\square$ Homeless Preven |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome Measure Area | Measure | Where information located | Total <br> Points <br> Available | Range of Points Available | Points Awarded |
| Administration | Minimum 2 staff with active eSnaps profiles | Application Question 1) and Attachment A | 2 | 2: Completed <br> 1: Initiated or 1 active profile |  |
| Community Need | Documented need for project type and size from the approved sources including BBCoC Homelessness Assistance Plan, HMIS, PIT County Reports, or other HUD Approved Databases. | Application Question 2) and Attachment D | 3 | 3: sources clearly articulate the unmet need for the services proposed in the application 2: data attempts to define the unmet need 0 : data does not address the unmet need |  |
| Community Need | Referenced the BBCoC updated HAP indicating how project advances the goals identified in the HAP, citing specific elements to be addressed from the HAP. | Application Question 3) and Attachment E | 6 | 6: The agency has clearly documented how the project has accomplished serving the client population to advance 3 goals in the HAP. <br> 4: advanced 2 goals <br> advanced one goal <br> 0 : no goals advanced in past year |  |
| Coordinated Entry | Documentation of participation in Coordinated Entry (CE) is provided. | Application Question 4) and Attachment CE | 5 | 5: Will serve as CE Assess point and only accepts referrals through CE <br> 2: Will use the CE Assessment tool and accept referrals through CE only. <br> 0 : Will not use the CE Assessment Tool and takes referrals outside of the CE System. |  |


| Outcome Measure Area | Measure | Where information located | Total <br> Points <br> Available | Range of Points Available | Points Awarded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Racial Equity | Organization demonstrated its ability to analyze racial and ethnicity data for leadership, direct care staff and clients served and has a staff structure that is racially and ethnically representative of the clients they serve. | Application Question 5) and attachment F | 5 | 5: organization has analyzed staff and client racial and ethnic makeup and the staff makeup matches the client demographic makeup within $10 \%$. <br> 2: organization has analyzed staff and client racial and ethnic makeup, but they do not mirror each other within 10\% <br> 1: organization has analyzed staff or client racial and ethnic make up <br> 0 : no analysis done on either staffing or clients served |  |
| Housing First | Organization's policies and procedures demonstrate the program does not mandate client participation in services either before obtaining housing or in order to retain housing. Organization's policies and procedures prioritize rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and improvement of economic selfsufficiency. | Application Question 6) and Attachment G | 5 | 5: organization policies and procedures demonstrate operating under Housing First, including allowing previously terminated participants to access services again in the future. Policies and procedures describe in detail how rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing is measured. <br> 2: policies and procedures are vague and not detailed but show commitment to operation under Housing First model. <br> 0 : failure to document how policy was implemented. |  |
| Coordination With Healthcare | Project narrative and attachments clearly demonstrate coordination with at least one healthcare organization and there is commitment from the healthcare organization through an executed MoU and letter of financial or in kind support of the project. | Application Question 7) and Attachment HEALTH | 6 | 6: have commitment for healthcare organization ensure clients are connected to health insurance and health care, includes completed MoU and letter of commitment. 3: has identified healthcare partners but only has an MoU or letter of commitment. 0 : has no MoU or letter of commitment |  |


| Outcome Measure Area | Measure | Where information located | Total <br> Points <br> Available | Range of Points Available | Points Awarded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Operation | Staffing Plan with Job Descriptions | Application Question 8) and Attachment H if available | 5 | 5: Detailed plan with all relevant job descriptions showing dates of hire and vacancies for each position and detailed job descriptions. <br> 2: plan lacks details requested <br> 0 : no detailed plan |  |
| Program Operation | Organization Chart | Application Question 9) and Attachment I | 3 | 3: Organization chart documents all existing staff and any proposed staff to be hired under this project. |  |
| Program Operation | Program Operational Plan clearly demonstrates: <br> a. Staffing - Hiring: Provide target dates of hire for each project position, indicate what methods of recruitment will be used to fill the positions. <br> b. Training to be Provided: <br> a. New Staff/Orientation <br> b. New Grantee/HUD JAX <br> c. Trauma Informed Care <br> d. Confidentiality and Security <br> e. Conflict Resolution and Grievance P\&P <br> c. Adoption of Policies and Procedures <br> a. Homeless status and eligibility determination <br> b. Programmatic requirements <br> d. Forms and Documentation <br> a. Client screening and eligibility forms <br> b. Data collection forms <br> For maximum points, attach completed policies and procedures as Attachment J. | Application Question 10) and Attachment J if available | 15 | 15: Comprehensive operational plan documenting staffing, at least the 5 types of training mentioned in the question, $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{Ps}$ are accurate and complete, forms and documentation are clear, sufficient and established. <br> 10: Has operational plan but details are missing, training plan is inadequate and does not cover all 5 areas, P\&Ps, forms and docs are adequate. <br> 5: Has an outline of an operational plan but it lacks details and specificity in areas of staffing, training, P\&Ps, forms and documentation 0 : there is no operational plan, though the elements of staffing, training, P\&Ps, forms and documents may be provided. |  |


| Outcome Measure Area | Measure | Where information located | Total <br> Points <br> Available | Range of Points Available | Points Awarded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Operation | Client Eligibility is clearly defined in the written policies and procedures and is inclusive of sending and receiving referrals through the BBCoC Coordinated Entry process. The policies and procedures include detail on how clients will be connected to mainstream benefits promoting economic self-sufficiency. | Application <br> Question 11) and <br> Attachment K | 15 | 15: Client Eligibility is clearly defined, understood, included process for sending and receiving referrals through Coordinated Entry and includes process on connecting clients to mainstream benefits promoting economic self-sufficiency. <br> 10: Client eligibility is clearly defined but does not reference both the use of Coordinated Entry and referral for mainstream benefits 5: client eligibility is not clearly defined though their may be referenced to use of CE and referrals for mainstream benefits. 0 : no explanation of client eligibility |  |
| System <br> Performance <br> Measures | Narrative and data presented demonstrate how 2 or more SPMs will be improved for the CoC because of this project and it's outcomes. | Application <br> Question 12) and <br> Attachment L | 10 | 10: Clearly demonstrates the applicants understanding of the CoC goals, and has documented how it will improve at least 2 of those measures. <br> 5: Only documents improvement in 1 measure. <br> 0 : does not document how it will improve any SPM. |  |
| Project Outcomes/ Deliverables | Narrative demonstrates clear outcomes and deliverables for project, how they will be measured, tracked and documented. | Application <br> Question 13) and <br> Attachment M | 5 | 5: response clearly outlines number of individuals/households assisted in 12 month time frame, explains how project will improve system performance, demonstrates knowledge and commitment to use of HMIS (or comparable DB for DV) used for measurement, tracking and documentation. 2: response outlines quantity in deliverables, does not address measurement, tracking, documentation or improvement of measures. |  |


| Outcome Measure Area | Measure | Where information located | Total <br> Points <br> Available | Range of Points Available | Points Awarded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget | Budget demonstrates allocation of requested funds including cost per person/per household served and the breakdown of the amount and percent of costs to be spent on housing assistance, supportive service, HMIS and administration. | Application Question 14) and Attachment N | 5 | 5: provided eSnaps Budget detail as well as cost per person/household breakdown, an percent of costs spent on housing assistance, support services, HMIS and Admin. <br> 2: only provided the eSnaps generated Budget page |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | The cost per Household/Individual for 12 months of assistance is at or below the BBCoC provided amounts per project type. | Application <br> Question 14) and Attachment N , as well as BBCoC generated cost effectiveness worksheet | 5 | 5: provided per household/individual cost breakdown and it is at or below amounts indicated on CoC WS. <br> 2: provided breakdown but is no more than $10 \%$ above amounts indicated on WS and comes with an explanation for increased cost. |  |
| Match Commitment | There is a commitment of $25 \%$ match for the project from an allowable source for the projects proposed operation dates. | Application question 16) and Attachment O | 5 | 5: match commitment documentation is for $25 \%$, is for activities related to this project and from an allowable match source specifically for the project operation dates 2: match commitment is for previous year operation but is expected to continue |  |
| Total Points Available |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Points Awarded |  |  |  |  |  |

## 100-point scale

| 100-92 | Excellent |
| :--- | :--- |
| 91-83 | Satisfactory |
| 82-74 | Needs Improvement - provide TA/Support (1st year) |
| Below $\mathbf{7 4}$ | Corrective Action Needed (1st year) |
| Below 74 | Consider Reallocation (2nd year) |

REVIEWER COMMENTS:

## From:

Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:
Attachments:

Johnna Coleman
Tuesday, October 4, 2022 1:29 PM
Bret Oglesby; HBernardo@bigbendhc.org; jackiefortmann@ability1st.info; Mandy Bianchi; Katherine Del Signore; Vicki Butler
Lona Ford
Announcement of Scores for 2022 CoC Supplemental NOFO Applications
2022 HUD COC SUPPLEMENTAL NOFO Project Summary.pdf; Review Scores.xlsx

Hello Project Applicants,
Thank each of you for dedicating time and effort to serving our community members seeking housing stability. Below is the approved ranking and tiering of project proposals for the $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ HUD CoC Consolidated Application. Each organization applying and having projects scored will receive all copies of the Review Committee Scoring Tools with comments, in a separate email, to help guide you in future competitions. The detailed summary as well as the spreadsheet of all reviewer scores are attached to this email for your review as well. Please note that if you feel it necessary to request an appeal due to the scoring process or tools being misaligned, please notify me no later than 12 noon on Thursday, October 6, 2022.

All applications need to be added in to ESNAPS by noon on Monday, October 17, 2022 along with an attachment stating your projects are in alignment with the ESG entitlement jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan, which will be provided to you by the CoC.

Please let me know if you have any questions about this and we can happily assist you!
Thanks again for all you do for our community and neighbors needing support and housing!

| RURAL SET ASIDES | Requested Amounts | Recommended Funding <br> Amounts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ability 1st RRH-Rural | $\$ 134,051.98$ | $\$ 250,000.00$ |
| BBCoC Supportive Services Only-Rural |  | $\$ 363,544.00$ |
|  |  | $\$ 613,544.00$ |
| UNSHELTERED SET ASIDES |  |  |
| BBHC- PSH- Unsheltered | $\$ 242,055.00$ | $\$ 1,000,000.00$ |
| Ability 1st RRH- Unsheltered | $\$ 432,740.00$ | $\$ 900,000.00$ |
| CESC- SSO- Unsheltered | $\$ 1,100,000.00$ | $\$ 705,394.78$ |
| CoC Planning- Unsheltered | $\$ 80,579.22$ | $80,579.22$ |
|  | Total: $\$ 1,855,374.22$ | $\$ 2,685,974.00$ |

Sincerely,

## Tohnna Foleman. M.O.

Executive Director
Big Bend Continuum of Care
2507 Callaway Road \#102

Tallahassee, FL 32303
Phone: 850-739-5162
jcoleman@bigbendcoc.org

Confidentiality Notice: This information is intended for the exclusive use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable state or federal law. You are hereby notified that any unauthorized copying, disclosure or distribution of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error (or are not an employee or agent responsible for delivering this information to the intended recipient), please immediately notify the sender to arrange for the return or destruction of the information and all copies. Under no circumstances should this material be read, retained or copied by anyone other than the named addressee.

## 2022 HUD SUPPLEMENTAL NOFO Project

## Application Scoring and Recommendations

## SUMMARY:

Reviewers submitting score sheets and who's scores were calculated into the Average Project Scores include:

- Kari Collins, Grant Writer, Funding for Social Change, and other local non-profits
- Taylor Biro, Community Advocate and CoC Board Member
- Horace Thompson, Formerly Homeless Veteran and CoC Governance Board Member

Four total projects were reviewed and scored by Review Committee Members, one for Rural Set Aside and three for Unsheltered Set Asides. An Average Project Score was calculated by combining all review committee scores and dividing by the number of reviewers (3).

## AVERAGE PROJECT SCORES:

Ability 1st RRH Rural ..... 86
BBHC PSH- Unsheltered ..... 89.3
Ability RRH- Unsheltered ..... 89
CESC SSO- Unsheltered ..... 69

## NOTES:

- The Ability $1^{\text {st }}$ RRH project was the only project submission for the Rural Set Aside Application. The Project Application Review Committee noted that a supportive services project would be necessary to the success of this project and should be submitted by the CoC and added to priority listing.
- The Project Application Review Committee recommended that the CESC project funding amount be decreased due to the high percentage of dollars being allocated to direct services. The funds be more appropriately distributed among all the projects to increase access to housing.
- Although CESC scored lower, their project components are essential to service provision for the unsheltered population.


## APPROVAL:

The Review Committee and CoC staff recommendations are detailed below, be approved as the ranking and tiering to be submitted for the 2022 HUD CoC NOFO on 10/4/22, via electronic vote, the BBCoC Executive Committee of the Governance Board approved this option.

| RURAL SET ASIDES |  | Recommended Funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amounts |  |  |

## From:

Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:
Attachments:

Johnna Coleman
Tuesday, October 4, 2022 1:29 PM
Bret Oglesby; HBernardo@bigbendhc.org; jackiefortmann@ability1st.info; Mandy Bianchi; Katherine Del Signore; Vicki Butler
Lona Ford
Announcement of Scores for 2022 CoC Supplemental NOFO Applications
2022 HUD COC SUPPLEMENTAL NOFO Project Summary.pdf; Review Scores.xlsx

Hello Project Applicants,
Thank each of you for dedicating time and effort to serving our community members seeking housing stability. Below is the approved ranking and tiering of project proposals for the $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ HUD CoC Consolidated Application. Each organization applying and having projects scored will receive all copies of the Review Committee Scoring Tools with comments, in a separate email, to help guide you in future competitions. The detailed summary as well as the spreadsheet of all reviewer scores are attached to this email for your review as well. Please note that if you feel it necessary to request an appeal due to the scoring process or tools being misaligned, please notify me no later than 12 noon on Thursday, October 6, 2022.

All applications need to be added in to ESNAPS by noon on Monday, October 17, 2022 along with an attachment stating your projects are in alignment with the ESG entitlement jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan, which will be provided to you by the CoC.

Please let me know if you have any questions about this and we can happily assist you!
Thanks again for all you do for our community and neighbors needing support and housing!

| RURAL SET ASIDES | Requested Amounts | Recommended Funding <br> Amounts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ability 1st RRH-Rural | $\$ 134,051.98$ | $\$ 250,000.00$ |
| BBCoC Supportive Services Only-Rural |  | $\$ 363,544.00$ |
|  |  | $\$ 613,544.00$ |
| UNSHELTERED SET ASIDES |  |  |
| BBHC- PSH- Unsheltered | $\$ 242,055.00$ | $\$ 1,000,000.00$ |
| Ability 1st RRH- Unsheltered | $\$ 432,740.00$ | $\$ 900,000.00$ |
| CESC- SSO- Unsheltered | $\$ 1,100,000.00$ | $\$ 705,394.78$ |
| CoC Planning- Unsheltered | $\$ 80,579.22$ | $80,579.22$ |
|  | Total: $\$ 1,855,374.22$ | $\$ 2,685,974.00$ |

Sincerely,

## Tohnna Foleman. M.O.

Executive Director
Big Bend Continuum of Care
2507 Callaway Road \#102

Tallahassee, FL 32303
Phone: 850-739-5162
jcoleman@bigbendcoc.org

Confidentiality Notice: This information is intended for the exclusive use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable state or federal law. You are hereby notified that any unauthorized copying, disclosure or distribution of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error (or are not an employee or agent responsible for delivering this information to the intended recipient), please immediately notify the sender to arrange for the return or destruction of the information and all copies. Under no circumstances should this material be read, retained or copied by anyone other than the named addressee.

## 2022 HUD SUPPLEMENTAL NOFO Project

## Application Scoring and Recommendations

## SUMMARY:

Reviewers submitting score sheets and who's scores were calculated into the Average Project Scores include:

- Kari Collins, Grant Writer, Funding for Social Change, and other local non-profits
- Taylor Biro, Community Advocate and CoC Board Member
- Horace Thompson, Formerly Homeless Veteran and CoC Governance Board Member

Four total projects were reviewed and scored by Review Committee Members, one for Rural Set Aside and three for Unsheltered Set Asides. An Average Project Score was calculated by combining all review committee scores and dividing by the number of reviewers (3).

## AVERAGE PROJECT SCORES:

Ability 1st RRH Rural ..... 86
BBHC PSH- Unsheltered ..... 89.3
Ability RRH- Unsheltered ..... 89
CESC SSO- Unsheltered ..... 69

## NOTES:

- The Ability $1^{\text {st }}$ RRH project was the only project submission for the Rural Set Aside Application. The Project Application Review Committee noted that a supportive services project would be necessary to the success of this project and should be submitted by the CoC and added to priority listing.
- The Project Application Review Committee recommended that the CESC project funding amount be decreased due to the high percentage of dollars being allocated to direct services. The funds be more appropriately distributed among all the projects to increase access to housing.
- Although CESC scored lower, their project components are essential to service provision for the unsheltered population.


## APPROVAL:

The Review Committee and CoC staff recommendations are detailed below, be approved as the ranking and tiering to be submitted for the 2022 HUD CoC NOFO on 10/4/22, via electronic vote, the BBCoC Executive Committee of the Governance Board approved this option.

| RURAL SET ASIDES |  | Recommended Funding <br> Amounts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ability 1st RRH-Rural | Requested Amounts | $\$ 250,000.00$ |
| BBCoC Supportive Services Only- Rural |  | $\$ 363,544.00$ |
| UNSHELTERED SET ASIDES |  | $\$ 613,544.00$ |
| BBHC- PSH- Unsheltered | $\$ 242,055.00$ |  |
| Ability 1st RRH- Unsheltered | $\$ 432,740.00$ | $\$ 1,000,000.00$ |
| CESC- SSO- Unsheltered | $\$ 1,100,000.00$ | $\$ 900,000.00$ |
| CoC Planning- Unsheltered | $\$ 80,579.22$ | $\$ 705,394.78$ |
|  | Total: $\$ 1,855,374.22$ | $80,579.22$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kendall Jones | Dr. James Moran | Cassandra Brown | Rev. Julius McAllister | Courtney Atkins | Walter Kelly | Brenda Williams <br> Chairman |
| Vice Chairman | Commissioner | Commissioner | Commissioner | Commissioner | Executive Director |  |

October 18, 2022

Re: Support of Big Bend SoC and Partner Agencies Supplemental NOFO for Rural and Unsheltered Set Asides

## To Whom It May Concern

On behalf of Tallahassee Housing Authority (THA), I wish to express our support Big Bend DoC's (BBCoC) application for Rural and Unsheltered Set Asides through 2022 HUD Supplemental NOFO. The mission of Big Bend Continuum of Care is to end homelessness in the Big Bend utilizing accurate and meaningful data to set priorities, high quality Best Practices, and evidence-based interventions. We believe it to be in the best interest of the general community for BBCoC to continue their dedicated provision of services to our neighbor's experiencing homelessness. Tallahassee Housing Authority consistently collaborates with organizations in the community to ensure that our residents have the access to affordable housing options through Housing Choice Vouchers.

As a community partner, THA works closely with the homeless population in our community through partnerships with Big Bend Homeless Coalition, Ability $1^{\text {st }}$, The Kearney. We have worked with BBCoC and its partner agencies to best serve these residents as it relates to low-income housing and housing vouchers. In support of this application, THA commits to serving program participants by dedicating 7 housing vouchers from 5/1/2023-4/30/2026.

We remain committed to serving our community in partnership with BBCoC and positively impacting the housing needs for residents experiencing homelessness.

Sincerely,


Brenda Williams
Executive Director

Dear Review Committee,
As a current board member of the Big Bend Continuum of Care (BBCoC), the chair of our Project Review Committee, and someone who has previously experienced homelessness, I would like to take this opportunity to voice our full support for the BBCoC and all the organizations represented in their efforts to obtain federal funding under the U.S. HUD, NOFO. Under the BBCoC's leadership, our service area has witnessed a reduction of homelessness by 42\% from our highest recorded Point In Time count in 2017 at 1072 individuals to 621 individuals in 2022. Another significant achievement is reducing unsheltered homelessness by $37 \%$ since 2015.

The BBCoC board works alongside BBCoC staff and our community at large to educate and advocate for more just housing interventions. During the BBCoC collaborative NoFo our Project Review team, comprised entirely of housing advocates who have lived experiences of homelessness, received full support and assistance from the BBCoC staff. Staff support ensured we had access to the necessary information to review proposed projects and the authority to review and approve final recommendations. On behalf of myself and the project review committee, we fully endorse the work of the BBCoC because of their excellent work and utilization of evidence-based practices they champion a great need in our community, and the service coordination they provide is necessary to ensure homelessness remains rare and brief within the Big Bend.
Thank you in advance for your careful consideration of their request.
Sincerely,


## Taylor Keta Biro

Board Member, Project Review Committee Chair

